



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner indicated to applicant that newly amended claim 1 could still be rejected based on the prior art of record and based on art found in examiner's previous search. However, examiner further indicated that the limitation in newly added claim 20 regarding the four parts of the device being integrally molded pieces was a critical element to distinguish applicant's art over the prior art and that if included into claim 1, the application would be in condition for allowance. Applicant agreed to allow examiner to include the limitations of claim 20 into claim 1 via examiner's amendment and consequently cancel claim 20. Examiner further noted that claims 4-6 are improper claims since, after the amendment, they do not further limit the parent claim (their limitations are all included in amended claim 1) and they will therefore be cancelled. The application is now in condition for allowance. .